

MedHealth Review, Inc. 661 E. Main Street Suite 200-305 Midlothian, TX 76065 Ph 972-921-9094 Fax (972) 827-3707

#### **Notice of Independent Review Decision**

**DATE NOTICE SENT TO ALL PARTIES: 2/23/15** 

**IRO CASE #:** 

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE**

The item in dispute is the prospective medical necessity of a work conditioning program 5x/week for 2 weeks (10 visits).

### A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in Orthopedic Surgery. The reviewer has been practicing for greater than 10 years.

#### REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adv	erse/
determination/adverse determinations should be:	

☑ Upheld	(Agree)
Overturned	(Disagree)
Partially Overturned	(Agree in part/Disagree in part)

The reviewer agrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the prospective medical necessity of a work conditioning program 5x/week for 2 weeks (10 visits).

#### PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This claimant is and reported a work injury xx/xx/xx. He complained of shoulder pain. He has had physical therapy, anti-inflammatories, injections and right shoulder arthroscopy. He improved with physical therapy. On xx/xx/xx his shoulder abduction was 120 degrees, flexion was 110 degrees and external rotation was 20 degrees. His strength was 3+ to 4/4. He works as a welder. He currently is able to lift 5-10 pounds. As a he is required to lift 60 pounds. There is no job description available for review. Evaluation from the treating is not included. There is no return to work plan. There is no psychological screening to review. A functional capacity evaluation is not provided.

# ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

This request does not meet the ODG criteria for a work conditioning program. There has not been a recent evaluation. There is no psychological screen or functional capacity evaluation to rule out psychosocial, drug, or attitudinal barriers to recovery. There is not a job description or details of a return to work plan. Therefore, it is not medically necessary at this time.

Return to work programs are recommended as an option, depending on the availability of quality programs, and should be specific for the job individual is going to return to. (Schonstein-Cochrane, 2003) Work Conditioning should restore the client's physical capacity and function. Work Hardening should be work simulation and not just therapeutic exercise, plus there should also be psychological support. Work Hardening is an interdisciplinary, individualized, job specific program of activity with the goal of return to work. Work Hardening programs use real or simulated work tasks and progressively graded conditioning exercises that are based on the individual's measured tolerances.

ODG Work Conditioning (WC) Physical Therapy Guidelines: WC amounts to an additional series of intensive physical therapy (PT) visits required beyond a normal course of PT, primarily for exercise training/supervision (and would be contraindicated if there are already significant psychosocial, drug or attitudinal barriers to recovery not addressed by these programs). See also Physical therapy for general PT guidelines. WC visits will typically be more intensive than regular PT visits, lasting 2 or 3 times as long.

## A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

_	ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
	AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
_	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
_	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
□ I	NTERQUAL CRITERIA
	MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
	MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
_	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT UIDELINES
□ F	PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
_	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)